

**MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY COMMISSION (MHSOAC)
Evaluation Committee
MHSOAC Conference Room
1300 17th Street, Suite 1000
Sacramento, CA 95811
July 23, 2012
3:30 P.M. to 5:30 P.M.**

Committee Members Present:

Richard Van Horn, Chair*
David Pating, MD, Vice Chair*
Viviana Criado
Debbie Innes-Gomberg*
Tim Smith*
Stephanie Oprendek, PhD*
Karyn Dresser, PhD*
Steve Leoni*
Rusty Selix*
Kathleen Derby
Stephanie Welch
Dave Pilon, PhD*
Denise Hunt*
Candace Millow*

Staff:

Carol Hood
Sandy Lyon
Wendy Desmorequx
Filomena Yeroshek
Renay Bradley, PhD*
Aaron Carruthers

Other Attendees:

Inna Tysoe*
Raja Mietry*
Wayne Clark, PhD*
Joan Meisel, PhD*
Michelle Violet*
Renita Anthony*
Mike Rieter
James Gilmore*

*Participated via telephone

Welcome/Introductions

Commissioner Van Horn convened the meeting at approximately 3:36 p.m.

- All meeting participants introduced themselves, and stated their membership affiliation.
- Commissioner Van Horn thanked everyone for their participation on the phone and at the meeting and outlined the agenda for the meeting.

Review and Approve Prior Meeting Minutes

The minutes were approved with a revision to include Mike Reiter and Saumitra SenGupta as meeting participants and Dave Pilon as a participant on the phone.

**Begin Initial Discussion of Priority Recommendations for Use of \$875K
Evaluation Funding for Fiscal Year 2012-13**

Staff led the initial discussion regarding the use of the \$875K and any additional funding options for consideration.

- Dr. Joan Meisel, contractor for the MHSOAC, described the eight options listed below which are consistent with development of the Master Plan for the Committee's consideration:
 - A. Program Level Data for FSPs to Support Quality Improvement
 - B. A Special Study of FSPs to Explore the Differences in Characteristics of Clients and Programs and Their Impact on Client Outcomes

- C. Evaluation of Whether Mental Health System has Changed Resulting from MHSA Values
- D. Support Local Oversight Efforts
- E. Initial Evaluation of Innovation Component
- F. Build on RAND PEI Evaluation Framework
- G. Strengthen Data Systems
- H. Increase MHSOAC Internal Evaluation Resources

- The Committee discussed the eight proposed options and acknowledged a variety of considerations to be thought about that will impact prioritizing selections when developing the final recommendations
- The Committee recommended the additional three options listed below for consideration. It was acknowledged that it is not possible to fund all of the options.
 - Evaluations Summit
 - Evaluation of Impact of Community Planning Process through Participatory Action Research (PAR)
 - Workforce Education and Training Evaluation Planning
- The Committee discussed all proposed options and provided input to be considered by staff in the development of the funding proposals.
- Committee members may submit any additional comments regarding proposed options or added options by August 10, 2012 to allow sufficient time for Staff to develop proposals for their review.
- Proposals will be developed by Staff using the Evaluation Priority Setting format, which was adopted by the Committee last year. The proposals will then be provided to Committee members in advance of the August meeting so priority recommendations can be finalized to present to the Commission for action in September.
- Committee members volunteered to be technical advisors to be available for consultation to assist Staff with the development of proposals
- As was done last year, staff will estimate funding ranges for costs of proposals for deliverables to be completed for the Committee's consideration

General Public Comment

- A suggestion was made to collaborate with the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) regarding the proposed summit since they are already conducting an annual "quality" conference and may be able to focus it on the proposed summit.
- It was noted that there are several evaluations happening at the county level that may be helpful in determining the focus of Proposal F.

Adjournment

Meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.