

MHSOAC
Mental Health Services
Oversight and Accountability Commission

**INTRODUCTION TO
UCLA/EMT DRAFT REPORTS
PART 1**

JULY 26, 2012

Today's Presentation

2

- Brief Background
- Two Draft Reports for Stakeholder Input
 - FSP Cost and Cost Offsets
 - Priority Indicators
- Next Steps

Background

3

- MHSOAC approved scope of work, general approach and provider qualifications for two evaluation efforts in mid-2010
- Staff conducted selection process through competitive Request for Proposal process
- Commission approved selection of UCLA/EMT for 2 contracts to implement 2 major phases of an ongoing MHSA evaluation

Background (cont.)

4

- These two reports that are part of these contracts are
 - DRAFTs for stakeholder input that include
 - Aggregate data only
 - Only data from counties that verified accuracy
 - Tremendous amount of work has gone into this report to address challenges in the existing data systems
 - Those efforts are ongoing
 - The stakeholder input period begins today
 - Final reports are due to be submitted by 9/30/2012
 - Useable data from all counties will be included
 - County specific information will be provided

FSP Cost and Cost Offset Report

5

This report documents

- The reported annual and daily expenditures/ client for Full Service Partnerships (FSPs) clients
 - Previous reports (under AB 2034) were based on budgets and available "slots"
 - This report is based on actual expenditures and actual enrollment
- The cost offsets are based on comparing 1 year pre- and 1 year post enrollment costs in critical areas for new enrollees
 - Psychiatric and general medical hospitalizations
 - Skilled Nursing Facilities
 - Incarceration

Process

6

- Please hold questions until the end of presentation of each report.
- There will be time for commissioner comments/questions at the end of each report.
- The public comment period will be after both reports are presented.
- Link to full report
<http://www.mhsoac.ca.gov/Announcements/Announcements.aspx>

MHSOAC
Mental Health Services
Oversight and Accountability Commission

7

**INTRODUCTION TO
UCLA/EMT DRAFT REPORTS
PART 2**

Priority Indicators

8

- Intent is to provide a semi-annual report of priority indicators at state and county levels
- Priority Indicators at Individual (Client) and System levels were approved by the California Mental Health Planning Council and by the Commission
 - UCLA/EMT has operationalized those indicators, and suggested alternate and additional indicators

**Indicator Development
Work in Progress**

9

- With indicators, it's important to determine
 - What is important to measure, and
 - How best to measure it
 - ✦ Initial reports are to be based on data that is available.
 - ✦ The details of the methodology for the calculations are critical
- Implementing regular reports on priority indicators remains a work in progress. Important that feedback be provided to this draft.

UCLA/EMT
Priority Indicator Development

⑩

- **In previous reports,**
 - UCLA operationalized the approved indicators or proposed alternatives as needed
 - They also suggested potential additional indicators
- **In this report,**
 - UCLA/EMT provides preliminary findings using county verified data for an expanded set of indicators

Next steps

⑪

- **UCLA/EMT Reports**
 - Obtain stakeholder input and revise as needed
 - Add additional county data, new analyses
 - Submit final reports by 9/30/12
- **Link to full reports.**
<http://www.mhsoac.ca.gov/Announcements/Announcements.aspx>
