



**Client and Family Leadership Committee
Minutes
April 9, 2013
2:00 AM – 5:00 PM
1500 Capitol Avenue
Video Room 72-170
Sacramento, CA 95811**

Committee Members:

Staff:

Other Attendees:

Ralph Nelson Tina Wooton* Carmen Diaz Sandra Villano Richard Krzyzanowski Darlene Prettyman Ruth Tiscareno Wendy Nguyen* Shannon Jaccard*	Filomena Yeroshek Jose Oseguera Sandy Lyon Dee Lemonds Matt Lieberman Keely Connelly	Michelle Violet Delphine Brody Steve Leoni Pete Lafollette Gwen Slattery David Czarnecki* Raja Mitry
---	---	--

*Participation by phone

Committee members absent: Ivan Anderson, Ignacio Ibarra, Loretta Winchester.

Welcome/Introductions

Commissioner Nelson, Committee Chair convened the meeting at 2:12 P.M.

- All meeting participants were welcomed and introductions were made around the room and on the phone.
- Chair Nelson outlined the agenda for the meeting.

Review /Approve Minutes from February 20, 2013 meeting

Chair Nelson asked all to look over the minutes of the April 9, 2013 meeting and to identify any corrections. There were no changes requested and the minutes were approved as written.

Report from the Community Forum Workgroup Members from the April 9th Workgroup Meeting

Highlights of the report regarding the San Bernardino Community Forum held on March 14, 2013:

- There were 232 participants that attended the Forum.
- There were a total of twelve break-out groups, including five non-English speaking groups.

- It was recommended that the Prop 63 logo be placed as a template on all agenda material for future Community Forums.
- A suggestion was made to provide definitions that describe the focus of the various break out-groups in advance of the discussion time so it's clear to participants early on of what group they are best suited to attend. This recommendation was the result of a situation regarding participants that originally joined the Parents and Caregivers Group, and were advised by the facilitator after the discussion groups started, to transfer to the Client and Family Members Group, because the representation of a parent was specific to eighteen year olds and under.
- It was recommended that the facilitator of each break-out group emphasize the specific focus of the group and to reiterate comments made during the open session.

Highlights of the update of the Community Forum Workgroup's presentation to the Commission in May 2013:

- Ruth Tiscareno and Raja Mitry will present a summary report at the May 23, 2013 Commission meeting and will provide highlights on four to five themes from the Forum.
- The summary report will be presented as a PowerPoint.

Highlights regarding the update of the Community Forum scheduled for June 6, 2013:

- The next Community Forum is scheduled for June 13, 2013 to be held in Capitola.

Public Comment

There was no public comment received.

Presentation and Discussion Regarding Current Orientation Process Provided to Stakeholders Prior to Commission Meetings and Recommendations for Improvements

- Richard Krzyzanowski provided an overview of the updated version of the PowerPoint presentation designed to encourage stakeholder participation and interest.
- There was a comment made that stakeholder participation at committee meetings could be a more effective method to influence the Commission's decision making.
- It was suggested that it is important to encourage stakeholders to also get involved at the community level to be effective with local and statewide issues to support the Commission's efforts.

- It was noted that it is important to continue to encourage client and family members to attend the Commission meetings.
- A comment was made that committee members that attend Commission meetings offer valuable input.
- A remark stated that having Commission meetings in Sacramento has hurt public participation. Regional meetings held throughout the State was preferred.
- It was suggested that there should be a mechanism in place to address and/or respond to public comments at Commission meetings.
- The CFLC could serve as a conduit to address public comments.
- A question was made regarding how often the Committee reports to the Commission. It was noted that it is typically only when the Committee is putting forward a recommendation that a report is provided.
- It was noted that stakeholder participation at Commission meetings has declined because of transportation issues.
- A comment was made that the stakeholder contracts can have provisions to solicit additional public participation.

Public Comment

- There was a recommendation to improve stakeholder attendance by providing reference material that includes MHSAs definitions and acronyms and adopted legislation regarding mental health issues that could serve as educational material to better orientate stakeholders.

Update on MHSOAC Evaluation Master Plan

Renay Bradley, PhD provided an update regarding the MHSOAC Evaluation Master Plan and below are the highlights:

- The MHSOAC hired Dr. Joan Meisel as a contractor to create the Evaluation Master Plan.
- Interviews were conducted by Dr. Meisel of forty stakeholder groups that provided input into the development of the Evaluation Master Plan.
- The Evaluation Master Plan includes a framework that is a paradigm of inputs and outcomes.
- The Evaluation Master Plan is to be used as an instrument that assists the Commission with evaluations.
- There is currently only one million dollars available each year in the Commission's budget for the evaluation efforts.
- The External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) provided valuable input that's been incorporated into the Evaluation Master Plan.
- The Evaluation Master Plan serves as a resource to provide program information to the Legislature regarding MHSOAC evaluation activities.

- The Evaluation Master Plan provides an outline of activities to be accomplished over the next five years.
- There is an Implementation Plan that co-exists with the Evaluation Master Plan.
- The Implementation Plan includes staffing resources projected to support the work associated with the Evaluation Master Plan.
- The MHSOAC lacks direct access to data and there is difficulty projecting outcomes.

Public comment was heard.

Update on Survey Research Regarding Crisis Intervention Training (CIT)

- Staff has made calls to one quarter of the 58 Sherriff Departments to request information regarding CIT in their community.
- There has been more information received regarding active course curriculum for CIT by staff.
- Staff has been contacting the county to find out which counties have other CIT programs to augment the information that has been obtained.

Public Comment

There was no additional input provided.

Discussion of Possible Future Agenda Items

- Flights have been reduced into Sacramento and Committee members are having problems identifying flights to reach the MHSOAC Committee meetings.

General Public Comment

There was no additional input provided.

Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 4:45 PM.