

**MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES  
OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY COMMISSION (MHSOAC)  
Evaluation Committee  
Double Tree by Hilton Hotel  
Tiburon-Sausalito Room  
835 Airport Blvd  
Burlingame, CA 94010  
November 14, 2012  
1:30 P.M. to 4:30 P.M.**

**Committee Members Present:**

Richard Van Horn, Chair  
David Pating, MD, Vice Chair  
David Pilon\*  
Debbie Innes-Gomberg\*  
Rusty Selix\*  
Denise Hunt  
Steve Leoni  
Rusty Selix\*  
Kathleen Derby\*

**Staff:**

Carol Hood  
Sandy Lyon  
Wendy Desormeaux  
Filomena Yeroshek  
Renay Bradley, PhD  
Cynthia Burt  
Kevin Hoffman\*  
Deborah Lee, PhD

**Other Attendees:**

Larry Poaster, PhD Chair MHSOAC  
Tina Wooton, Vice Chair CFLC  
Maylen Valois  
Joan Meisel, PhD  
Jim Gilmer  
Linda Dickerson, PhD  
Delphine Brody

\*Participated via telephone

**Welcome/Introductions**

Commissioner Van Horn convened the meeting at approximately 1:35 p.m.

- All meeting participants introduced themselves, and stated their membership affiliation.
- Commissioner Van Horn thanked everyone for their participation and outlined the agenda for the meeting. He reminded members that this is the last meeting of the year with the current committee membership term ends on December, 2012.

**Review and Approve Prior Meeting Minutes**

The August 22, 2012 meeting minutes were approved as written.

**Update on Current Evaluation Activities**

Staff provided an update on current evaluation activities and the status of the use of the FY 2012-13 evaluation resources intended for evaluation efforts soon to be underway.

- Highlights of the update:
  - The current CSCS contract regarding data quality will provide a deliverable this month that will assess the quality of Full Service Partnerships (FSPs) data, available through the Data Collection and Reporting (DCR) system. It will also include recommendations on how to overcome problems and limitations through a data quality correction plan.
  - The next Priority Indicator report, due at the end of March 2013 from UCLA, will focus on FY's 2004-05 and 2005-06 data to obtain a baseline.

## DRAFT

- The MHSOAC recently released an RFOP seeking proposers to use a participatory research process to conduct an evaluation of the MHSA community planning process. (CPP). This was a priority recommendation for use of FY 2012-13 evaluation resources with the primary goal to evaluate the impact and effectiveness of the CPP process for quality improvement purposes.
- An additional RFP, for the client stakeholder contract, was recently released to seek proposers to work with local communities to inventory (among other deliverables), the local planning process. It is anticipated that this contractor will work closely with the Evaluation contractor on the CPP inventory deliverable.
- An RFP will be released in the coming months to seek proposers to assess the quality and efficacy of Innovation Program evaluations and to take steps to ensure that counties can conduct rigorous evaluation. This was one of the priority recommendations for use of the \$875K evaluation resources.

Along with the Committee members' discussion, public comment was received and incorporated.

### **Update on the Evaluation Master Plan**

Dr. Joan Meisel, contractor for the MHSOAC, provided an update on the development of the Evaluation Master Plan that the Committee inputted.

The Power Point for the presentation that described the draft outline is a work in progress of what may be incorporated into the Evaluation Master Plan and is accessible at the following link:

[http://www.mhsoac.ca.gov/Meetings/docs/Meetings/2012/Nov/Eval\\_111412\\_Tab3\\_EvalMasterPlanOutline.pdf](http://www.mhsoac.ca.gov/Meetings/docs/Meetings/2012/Nov/Eval_111412_Tab3_EvalMasterPlanOutline.pdf)

The Committee provided feedback on the draft outline for consideration into the final plan to be submitted to the Commission by the end of the year.

Public comment was received and incorporated, along with the Committee member discussion.

### **General Public Comment**

There was no general public comment beyond what was included in the committee discussion for the prior agenda items.

### **Adjournment**

Meeting was adjourned at 4:32 p.m.