



**Financial Oversight Committee Meeting
Minutes**

June 28, 2013

10:00 AM – 12:00 PM

**1300 17TH Street, Suite 1000
Sacramento, CA 95811**

Committee Members:

Staff:

Other Attendees:

Larry Poaster, Chair Patricia Ryan Stacie Hiramoto Paul Stansbury* Wayne Clark* Rigel Flaherty*	Kevin Hoffman Filomena Yeroshek Cynthia Burt Peter Best Sherri Gauger Aaron Carruthers	Mike Geiss
--	---	------------

*Participation by phone

Committee members absent: Jane Adcock, Maureen Mina, Carol Langone, Rusty Selix

Welcome:

Chair Poaster opened the meeting and thanked the group for their flexibility on being able to attend this meeting. He indicated that the regularly scheduled meeting on August 1, 2013 will still occur and that the committee will continue to move on its Charter items, namely a review of some state departments/organizations who received MHSA Administrative dollars. They will be invited to the Financial Oversight meetings to explain what those dollars are accomplishing.

Introductions:

The Chair facilitated introductions and Mike Geiss, Fiscal Consultant to the MHSOAC, provided some information regarding his background and role in the development of the fiscal templates for the Proposed FY 2014/15 MHSA Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan.

Review and Adopt the Fiscal Reports required in the Proposed FY 2014/15 MHSA Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan Forms and Instructions to be Presented to the MHSOAC in July 2013:

Sherri Gauger, MHSOAC Executive Director, presented an introduction and background on the purpose and intent for the Proposed FY 2014/15 MHSA Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan instructions and the fiscal templates designed to go with Annual Update Instructions. She indicated that the MHSOAC does not want to go too far beyond law in the development of instructions, but also was sensitive to some of the feedback provided by consensus of the workgroup members as to what the counties could report.

Chair Poaster also indicated that the time frame for the instructions is intended to give the Commissioners an opportunity to look at fiscal and programmatic instructions at the July meeting, so that counties can put together their budgets in the Fall. Chair Poaster also reminded the committee that the fiscal documents are intended to be prospective (what do counties plan to spend) and a plan for the next three fiscal years. As such, he reminded the group that fiscal information provided through these documents is subject to change based on what is planned and identified through the respective counties' planning processes. The Revenue and Expenditure Report (RER) will be the mechanism for picking up actual expenditures. The MHSOAC has collaborated with Department of Health Care services (DHCS) to make sure that the Revenue and Expenditure Report and Three-Year Program and Expenditure report documents correlate with each other.

Discussion of revenue projections utilized by counties for completing fiscal information on the templates and how counties should make their projection(s) of MHSA income. Counties told to make the best possible projection they can for the next three years. For planning purposes, it was recommended that the counties could use the projections that the Commission in January and May since these are estimates for the next two fiscal years.

After a discussion of where counties could find estimates to include in their three year planning processes, a discussion ensued, addressing the Financial Committee member comments and feedback. The Chair reminded the committee that the Three Year Program and Expenditure Plan and financial pages only report the MHSA funding stream and do not report or document the entire community mental health system. Therefore, there will not be documentation of other funds like, 1991 realignment or FFP that that county's mental health plan might have generated.

General Public Comment:

There was no public comment.

Motion:

No motion or vote was conducted since a quorum was not obtained for that session. The committee will just report out to the Commission and make some acknowledgement that feedback was provided as to what could or could not be addressed based on statutory requirements.

Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at Noon.