



CADPAAC

Criminal Justice Committee

Brett O'Brien, Chair

(714) 834-2011

bobrien@ochca.com



CMHDA

Forensic Committee

Anne Robin, Co-Chair

(530) 891-2850

ARobin@buttecounty.net

AB 109 Survey Report - Year 2

January 2013

AB109 CADPAAC CMHDA Survey Report - Year 2 January 2013

1. No. of Counties involved with CCP?

Totals

YES	49
NO	3
Unknown	5

1a. If Yes, to what degree are you involved?

Totals

CCP Executive? (BH, AOD, MH, or Agency?)	14
On CCP Committee?	25
Monthly Planning, Subcommittee, or Work group	8
N/A	2

2, 3, 4. Approximately what percent of the overall county AB109 funding allocation is designated for substance use disorder (SUD) treatment?

5. If unable to separate funding allocations, approximately what percent of overall county AB109 funding is designated for behavioral health services?

	SUD	MH	Co-Occuring	BHS
0%	7	10	28	4
1-5%	7	10	3	6
6-10%	11	12	2	5
11-15%	7			8
16-20%		1		2
21-25%				6
26-30%				2
31-35%				1

6. Are other agencies in the county providing or planning to provide mental health or SUD treatment services under AB109?

Totals

YES	37
NO	18

6a. If yes, what are the agencies, who do they contract with to provide the services, and what does treatment include?

Totals

FQHC	2
DRC (Sentinel/BI)	14
SUD contract agencies	19
MH contract agencies	7
County Operated MH/SUD	8

7. What is the mechanism in your county for reimbursement of treatment services provided to clients under AB109?

	Totals
Direct reimbursement for services through AB109	4
Contracts through BH departments, invoiced later to AB109 funds	10
AB109 paying for staff positions	8
Utilizing AB109 funds to "match" medi-cal	5
Set allocation for services out of AB109 funds	17
Interdepartmental transfers, journal vouchers, etc.	19
Fee for service for SUD tx services	14

8. How is treatment need/level determined for AB109 referrals?

	Totals
BH Access team/unit screens all referrals	22
Probation screens with COMPAS	4
Collaborative screening Probation / BH (MH and/or SUD)	16
ASI	1
ASAM	4
STRONG (probation)	1
Sheriff Office assesses	1
Probation department assesses	10
COJAC	1
AC/OK	1
CAIS	3
ONG	1

9. What outcome measures are being considered for treatment of AB109 SUD and/or mental health clients?

	Totals
None yet selected	16
CalOMS	8
Recidivism	11
Working with outside evaluator	1
Tx completion	9
Eligibility / benefits status	1
Employment	1
Number of flash incarcerations	1
MORS	2
Successful tx plan goals	3
ANSA	1

10. What is the current capacity in your county for treating AB109 referrals?

	Totals
Over capacity	8
Sufficient capacity	35
Unlimited	3
Unable to address capacity at this time	10

11. What are the current challenges in meeting the AB109 capacity need for your county?

Funding	8
Staffing	6
Collaboration	9
RFP process	1
Housing	5
Jail size	0
Medical Detox	1
Treatment capacity (trained, competent staff, programs)	8
Tracking eligible clients	5
Employment	1
Medication (funding)	0
Medication (compliance)	1
Clinical case management	1
Transportation	1
Medical Services	0

12. How many referrals and admissions to treatment compared with projections developed during the county's planning process?

	Totals
Meets Projection	14
Below Projection	24
Exceeds Projections	5
Referral Increase	1
Referral Decrease	1
Unknown	7
To Be Determined	1
No Change	1

13. What areas of concern do you have in your county for SUD treatment services to the AB 109 population and what are your potential plans for resolving these concerns?

	Totals
Low referral to treatment	2
Need for other services such as housing, medication, cont. of care, jobs	20
Hiring more staff	2
Case Management	1
Community Supervision	1
Funding	7
Staff Training	2
Compliance and Consistency with other agencies	3
Needs increase/waitlist increase	3
Clients return who require state hospitalization	1

Note: Responses in each column indicate the number of counties answering for each particular item. Five counties did not respond.