

County Priorities for Statewide MHSA Evaluation

	High Priority	Moderate Priority	Total Above Moderate
DMH data system			
reports from DMH	81%	19%	100%
reporting to DMH	67%	24%	91%
dashboard	52%	38%	90%
FSP Outcomes	48%	38%	86%
Non-FSP Outcomes	38%	43%	81%
Documentation of Activities & Costs	24%	57%	81%
MHSA Vision	10%	57%	67%
Benchmarks	29%	33%	62%
Cost Efficiency	24%	38%	62%
Implementation	19%	29%	48%

County Measurement of MHSA Vision

Element of MHSA Vision	Percent Evaluating	Measurement Tools Used
Recovery/Wellness/Resilience Orientation	86%	Milestones of Recovery Scale (MORS), Clinically Informed Outcomes Management (CIOM), Illness Management Recovery (IMR), Mental Health Statistics Improvement Program (MHSIP), local surveys & focus groups, consumer outcomes
Consumer/Family Involvement	81%	Local surveys & focus groups
Cultural Competence	76%	Log of outreach, local surveys & focus groups, consumer outcomes
Age-Specific Practices	71%	Local surveys & focus groups, consumer outcomes
Access & Appropriateness of Care	67%	Local surveys & focus groups, consumer outcomes
Community Partnerships	52%	Memorandums of Understanding (MOU), local surveys
Integration of Treatment	52%	Local surveys & focus groups
Reduction in Stigma	33%	Innovation Project Plans, local surveys & focus groups

County Evaluation of MHSa Components

Evaluation of Components (CSS, PEI, WET, CF/TN, INN)	Proportion that Gathered Data
Evaluated 1 or more MHSa Components	91%
Evaluated 2 or more MHSa Components	67%

County Evaluation of Consumer Outcomes

Evaluation Efforts	Proportion that Gathered Data
Evaluated Consumer Outcomes in CSS	67%
Evaluated Consumer Outcomes in other components (not CSS)	29%