

## Format for MHSOAC Review of County CSS Plans

### **Basic Information:**

County:

Total County CSS Allocation: \$

Total County Budget for Mental Health: \$

Proposal:

- \_\_\_\_\_ Full Service Partnership Projects  
    \_\_\_\_\_Programs for Children: Caseload
  
- \_\_\_\_\_Programs for Transition Age Youth: Caseload
  
- \_\_\_\_\_Programs for Adults: Caseload
  
- \_\_\_\_\_Programs for Older Adults: Caseload
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ System Development Projects  
    Population/s Served:  
    Caseload (for each), if appropriate:  
    Dollars (for each):
  
- \_\_\_\_\_ Outreach and Engagement Projects  
    Target Population/s for Outreach (for each):  
    Dollars (for each)

### **Consumer and Family Involvement**

- Did consumers and family members participate in planning? Was outreach to non-traditional participants adequate? Is the impact of consumer and family member participation evident in plan?  
    Questions  
    Comments
  
- Is there evidence of ongoing consumer and family member involvement?  
    Questions  
    Comments
  
- How will increased ethnic and cultural diversity among consumer and family member participants be achieved?  
    Questions  
    Comments

**Fully Served, Underserved/Inappropriately Served, Unserved:**

Please review definitions in DMH “Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan Requirements” on page 15-17, “*Analyzing Mental Health Needs in the Community,*” and evaluate the Chart A response from the county. Evaluate the narrative analysis of unserved populations.

Does the County need to explain its definition of the service levels—fully served, inappropriately served, underserved?

To your knowledge, does the county need to clarify/adjust any of these estimates?

Questions:

Comments:

**Wellness/Recovery/Resilience:**

Does the plan show evidence of adopting Recovery models for Full Service Partnerships?

- Multidisciplinary teams?
- Single point of responsibility for the client?
- Is there a SB 163, Children’s Wraparound Program, that is planned or expanded? Please assess whether the plan meets the specific standards for SB 163.
- Specify criteria for access to each Full Service Partnership Project

Questions

Comments

Do the System Development Projects move the total system toward wellness?

- Are strategies (each) wellness focused?
- Improvements in access, quality, content, delivery of services, client/staff ratios, expansion of children’s wraparound services?

Questions

Comments

Is cultural competency an integral part of plans for developing wellness, recovery, resiliency models?

Questions

Comments

Does the use of Outreach/Engagement funds appear to enhance prospects of cultural competency and appropriate services to diverse communities?

**Education and Training and Workforce Development**

- What human resource needs are generated by the plan?

Questions

Comments

- Is there evidence of serious training and retraining efforts within one-time expenditures attached to the plan?

Questions

Comments

- Are cultural competency goals included in the plan? Assess the adequacy of plans to achieve cultural competency.  
Questions  
Comment
- Are specific plans for consumer and family member employment spelled out in workplans?  
Questions  
Comments

**Collaboration:**

Will the plan result in progress toward integrated service systems for all ages?

- Evidence of a county commitment to collaboration? Among government agencies and with non-governmental agencies?  
Questions  
Comments
- Is there evidence of involvement of other care partners in planning?  
Questions  
Comments
- Are there opportunities to expand community participation to include nontraditional stakeholders such as business, labor and civic organizations?  
Questions  
Comments
- Is there evidence of other care partners in ongoing implementation? Leveraging of dollars? (Note: plan requirements do not ask for evaluation plans; will come later)  
Questions  
Comments
- Is there a plan for future plan revisions? Is there evidence of ongoing stakeholder involvement in planning?  
Questions  
Comments
- How is collaboration increasing cultural competence?  
Questions  
Comments

**Identify programs of interest to monitor for statewide implications**

Comments if appropriate

**Identify any budget-related questions**

Questions if appropriate

**The overarching question for the Commission and the CSS Committee:** “Is the proposal a coherent and strategic plan to move the entire county system toward transformation, consistent with MHSA goals of appropriate, integrated, and timely services for all who need them?”

The statement outlining the “Goals, Processes, and Guidelines for County Plan Reviews” recognizes the difficulty in answering this question without adequate baseline information. Nevertheless, your best answer at this time will help build the database necessary to properly evaluate county plans for every phase of implementation.

For your information, the CSS Committee is undertaking a research project that will continue to better inform the Commission and CSS Committee members about the context for evaluating plans. To fully realize the historic potential for system transformation, Commissioners and participants such as yourselves must be able to determine how new spending and programs improve the overall county system, and how MHSA-funded services fit in with the rest of the system. In order to measure progress toward transformation, we must be able to understand the gap between current conditions and the ultimate goal of individualized and appropriate services for all who need them, when they need them (Help first v. Fail first).

Comments: